IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

<u> Fel</u> ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
215-360-3666	855-766-3959	sean@quinnlawyers.com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
06/27/2019	Sean E. Quinn, Esq.	Plaintiffs				
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)			
	uses that do not fall into track complex and that need special de of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANAG	GEMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Case iling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Designa a copy on all defendants. (Sec ent that a defendant does no all, with its first appearance, ies, a Case Management Trac	Reduction Plan of this court, counse ation Form in all civil cases at the tine § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the report agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serock Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of verse said ve on			
	: :	NO.				
V.	:					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM (to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar) 1014 Stallings Road, Macclesfield, NC 27852 Address of Plaintiff: 200 Phillips Mill Road, Cochranville, PA 19330 Address of Defendant: Route 283 West, East Hempfield, PA Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: _ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Judge: Case Number: _ Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is ng/ this court except as noted above. 86346 06/27/2019 DATE: Attorney I.D. # (If applicable) Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff CIVIL: (Place a vi in one category only) Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: B. Federal Question Cases: Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury **FELA** Assault, Defamation 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Marine Personal Injury Z 4. Antitrust 4. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): Labor-Management Relations Products Liability 7. Civil Rights Products Liability - Asbestos Habeas Corpus 8. All other Diversity Cases Securities Act(s) Cases (Please specify): _ Social Security Review Cases 10. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: Attorney I.D. # (If applicable) Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

Case 5:19-cv-02831 ECSL Document 3 HEIE 06/27/19 Page 4 of 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Select sheet. (BEE 1181116 C			DEFENDANT	TS.				
`	f First Listed Plaintiff **CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe	,		County of Residen NOTE: IN LAND THE TRAC Attorneys (If Know.	(IN U.S. F CONDEMNAT CT OF LAND II	PLAINTIFF CASES O	<i>'</i>	OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "Y" in C	ing Roy Only)	III CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	AI. PARTIES	(Place on "V" in	One Box fo	or Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only			and One Box for	-	
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant ☐ Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State		□ 5	□ 5			
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ely)				k here for: Nature	of Suit Code De	scription	<u>s</u> .
CONTRACT		RTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY		STATUTI	ES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	694 710 720 740 75 79	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88. 10 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 11 Income Security Act 12 Income Security Act 13 Other Immigration Actions 15 Other Immigration Actions	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Cop 830 Pate 835 Pate New 840 Trac 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI FEDER 870 Taxo or E 871 IRS 26 U 861 U 871 IRS 26 U	RTY RIGHTS yrights int int - Abbreviated brug Application lemark - SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cl. 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks at 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketes Corrupt 485 Telephor 490 Cable/S: 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other State 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 899 Adminis	aims Act in (31 USC) apportionn t apportion t apportion t apportion t apportion t and Banking cre tion er Influence Organizatic er Credit ne Consum on Act at TV ess/Commod ge attutory Act ural Acts mental Mat and Inform tion tion tion tionality of	ed and ons ner dities/ tions tters tation ccedure
	moved from	Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	ened Anot		6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1 -	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	I	use:	e ming (L	o noi cue jurisdictional s	suines uniess d	iversity).			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.) Di	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only		complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	1100	, /	/	_	_	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				Man		aum	7		
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARLTON ADCOCK, JR. and SANDY ADCOCK, h/w	: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT
1014 Stallings Road	•
Macclesfield, NC 27852	•
1,100,1010,110,110,27,002	DOCKET NO
V.	:
LACON JONES EDIJOVINO	:
JASON JONES TRUCKING	:
200 Phillips Mill Road	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Cochranville, PA 19330	:
	:
JASON JONES	:
200 Phillips Mill Road	:
Cochranville PA 10330	•

Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock, by and through their attorneys, Quinn Law Group, LLC, submits the following Complaint against Defendants, Jason Jones and Jason Jones Trucking, and in support thereof, avers as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock are married, adult citizens of North Carolina, residing therein at 1014 Stallings Road, Macclesfield, NC 27852.
 - 2. Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking is, upon information and belief:
 - a limited liability corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the
 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
 - a limited liability corporation purposefully availing itself of the rights and privileges of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania;
 - a limited liability corporation maintaining its business office at 200 Phillips Mill
 Road, Cochranville, PA 19330;

- a limited liability corporation that conducts regular and systematic business throughout Pennsylvania;
- e. a transport company with a registered USDOT identification number of 2510941.
- Defendant, Jason Jones, is upon information and belief, an adult citizen of the
 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 200 Phillips Mill Road, Cochranville, PA
 19330.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction based upon diversity of citizenship of the parties and the requisite amount in controversy is in excess of Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, exclusive of interest and costs, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 5. Venue is appropriate in this District because the Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district, and the underlying motor vehicle collision occurred within this Judicial District.
- 6. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones Trucking acted and/or failed to act by and through its actual and/or apparent agents, contractors, independent contractors, subcontractors, servants, workmen, employees, representatives, and/or ostensible agents, including Defendant Jason Jones, who was/were acting within the course and scope of their actual and/or apparent employment, agency, representation, and/or ostensible agency, and in furtherance of Defendant Jason Jones Trucking's interests.
- 7. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones was an employee, agent and/or owner of Defendant Jason Jones Trucking.
- 8. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones Trucking leased, owned and/or controlled a 2015 Peterbilt Truck Tractor bearing Pennsylvania license plate number

AG23961, (hereinafter "Defendant's Vehicle").

- 9. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones was the driver and operator of Defendant's Vehicle.
- 10. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones was authorized and/or permitted to operate Defendant's Vehicle by Defendant Jason Jones Trucking.
- 11. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant Jason Jones was in the course and scope of his employment / agency with Defendant Jason Jones Trucking while driving and operating Defendant's Vehicle.
- 12. At all times relevant and material hereto, Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr. was the operator of a 2016 Volvo tractor trailer bearing Illinois license plate number P956406, (hereinafter "Plaintiff's Vehicle").
- 13. On October 2, 2017, at approximately 1:45 p.m., Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr., was pulled over on the shoulder of the highway facing westbound on Route 283 West in East Hempfield, PA. Defendant, Jason Jones, driving westbound on Route 283 West negligently and carelessly rear-ended Plaintiff's Vehicle, causing Plaintiff to sustain serious injuries and damages.
- 14. The above referenced motor vehicle collision was caused directly, proximately, and solely by the Defendant, and resulted in property damage, personal injuries, and losses to Plaintiff more fully set forth below.
- 15. At all times relevant and material hereto, Plaintiff was exercising due care and caution for his own safety.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff Carlton Adcock sustained serious direct or consequential injuries / damages, including but not limited to the following: Lumbar Sprain, Cervical Sprain, Cervical radiculopathy,

headaches, severe head pain, PTSD, as well as pain throughout the neck and back, among other serious injuries.

- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff Carlton Adcock suffered and continues to suffer a serious impairment of bodily function.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff is subject to an increased risk that he will develop future complications, pain, and/or other injuries, and may require additional medical treatment and surgery in the future.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff has expended money for medical care and/or medicine and may be required to expend additional money for the same purposes in the future.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff Carlton Adcock has been prevented, and/or may be prevented in the future, from engaging in his usual employment, activities, avocations, and lifestyle, all to his great personal loss and detriment.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiffs have suffered and may continue to suffer economic and/or other losses and damage.
 - 22. All Defendants are jointly and severally liable.

COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE - Jason Jones

- 23. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the above paragraphs as though same were set forth more fully at length herein.
 - 24. Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr., sustained serious injuries and damages as a direct an

proximate result of the negligent and careless conduct of the Defendants, which consisted of the following:

- a. Failing to operate Defendants' Vehicle in a safe and reasonable manner;
- Failing to keep a proper and adequate lookout for other vehicles on the roadway, including Plaintiff's Vehicle;
- c. Operating Defendants' vehicle with careless disregard for the rights and safety of other persons, including Plaintiff;
- d. Failing to maintain Defendants' Vehicle under adequate control;
- e. Failing to use due care and caution under the circumstances;
- f. Operating Defendants' Vehicle in such a careless manner so as to cause the aforementioned motor vehicle collision;
- g. Operating Defendant's Vehicle in an unsafe manner likely to endanger persons and/or property;
- h. Violating 75 Pa.C.S.A § 3714 by driving Defendant's Vehicle in a careless manner;
- i. Operating Defendants' vehicle while under the influence of drugs or alcohol; and
- j. Failing to maintain lane of travel.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer a serious impairment of bodily function.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock, demand judgment against Defendants for compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00), plus interest, delay damages, costs of suit, and any other relief deemed equitable and appropriate by the Court.

COUNT II – NEGLIGENCE – Jason Jones Trucking

- 26. Plaintiffs hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the above paragraphs as though the same were set forth more fully at length therein.
- 27. Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking was negligent and careless in the following respects:
 - Failing to properly train and supervise drivers, agents, servants, workmen, employees, representatives, contractors, and/or ostensible agents, including Defendant Jason Jones;
 - Failing to adopt and enforce appropriate policies and procedures to ensure that
 the Defendants' drivers, agents, servants, workmen, employees,
 representatives, contractors, and/or ostensible agents, were properly trained and
 supervised;
 - c. Failing to properly control the activities of the Defendant's personnel, employee(s), driver(s), representative(s), contractor(s), and/or agent(s);
 - d. Failing to hire competent personnel, employee(s), driver(s), representative(s),
 contractor(s), and/or agent(s);
 - e. Failing to ensure that Defendant's personnel, employee(s), driver(s),
 representative(s), contractor(s), and/or agent(s) complied with all applicable
 laws, safety standards, rules, and regulations;
 - f. Failing to properly and adequately train its personnel, employee(s), driver(s), representative(s), contractor(s), and/or agent(s) to comply with applicable safety standards, laws, rules, regulations, and codes;

- g. Failing to adopt and/or enforce proper and adequate guidelines, polices, and/or
 procedures relating to the safe operation of commercial vehicles;
- Failing to adopt and/or enforce proper and adequate guidelines, polices, and/or
 procedures to ensure compliance with applicable safety standards, laws, rules,
 regulations, and codes; and
- i. Negligently entrusting Jason Jones to operate Defendant's Vehicle.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, Plaintiff sustained serious injuries and damages as set forth more fully in the above paragraphs and incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock, demand judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00) plus interest, costs of suit, delay damages, and/or any other relief deemed equitable and appropriate by the Court.

COUNT III – VICARIOUS LIABILITY

- 29. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the above paragraphs as though same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 30. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking, acted and/or failed to act by and through Defendant, Jason Jones.
- 31. At all times relevant and material hereto, Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking, negligently and carelessly entrusted Defendant's Vehicle to Defendant, Jason Jones.
- 32. Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking, is vicariously liable for the aforementioned negligence and carelessness of Defendant, Jason Jones.
 - 33. Defendant, Jason Jones Trucking, knew or should have known that Defendant,

Jason Jones, was unfit or otherwise unskilled to drive Defendant's Vehicle prior to the crash.

34. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, Plaintiff sustained serious injuries and damages as set forth more fully in the above paragraphs and incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock, demand judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00), plus interest, delay damages, costs of suit, and any other relief deemed equitable and appropriate by the Court.

COUNT IV – LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 35. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the above paragraphs as though same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 36. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, Sandy Adcock, has been married to and the wife of Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the severe and permanent injuries sustained by Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr., in the aforementioned motor vehicle collision, Plaintiff, Sandy Adcock, has been in the past, and will in the future, be deprived of the society, services, companionship and consortium of her husband, Plaintiff, Carlton Adcock, Jr., to her great personal and financial loss and expense.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Carlton Adcock, Jr. and Sandy Adcock, demand judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00), plus interest, delay damages, costs of suit, and any other relief deemed equitable and appropriate by the Court.

Respectfully Submitted,

QUINN LAW GROUP, LLC

BY:

SEAN E. QUINN, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiff 1500 Market Street

Centre Square West - Suite 4000

Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 360-3666

Dated: June 27, 2019